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# PORTAGE COUNTY <br> PLANNING \& ZONING SURVEY 

## Executive Summary

Itzkowitz \& Associates LLC. (I\&A) was hired to conduct survey research as part of the Portage County Comprehensive Plan Community Survey. Technical assistance was provided as Portage County Planning and Zoning Department developed the survey tool. Planning and Zoning mailed the survey to all households in Portage County. A total of 6656 surveys were returned. This represented a return rate of $22 \%$. County staff entered data on computer disks prepared by I\&A.

I\&A created a database, transferred the data from the computer disks, and prepared this analysis. Information contained in this report has been gathered from sources believed to be reliable. No responsibility is assumed for the accuracy of information supplied by others.

Written comments in response to open-ended questions are attached to all reports. Each city/village/township has all comments included.

For the countywide report, attaching all comments would have added an additional 170 pages of single-spaced comments. Similar comments made two or more times were categorized and combined. While this provides a useful representation of participant comments, readers should be aware that inclusion of all comments might alter the results.

## Research Findings

## Demographics:

Demographic data was compared with 2000 Census data. Not all demographic categories in this survey can be compared since 2000 census data reports are still in the process of release.

In general, respondents differ from the general population of Portage County in the following ways:

The number of respondents who own property are over-represented, the number of males are over-represented, the number who are 45 and older are over-represented, the number of respondents who live in a household with only two people are over-represented
> Most respondents ( $60 \%$ ) have been residents of portage County for over 20 years.
> The number of returned surveys generally is in proportion to the city/village/township population.
$>88 \%$ of respondents own property in the county. [70.9\% - 2000 Census]
$12 \%$ rent. [29.1\%-2000 Census]
> Gender of respondents is:

$$
\text { Male }-57 \% .[49.8 \%-2000 \text { Census] }
$$

Female - 43\%. [50.2\%-2000 Census]
$>41 \%$ of respondents are 45 or older. [32\%-2000 Census]
$>43 \%$ of respondents live in a household with two people. [Average household size is 2.5-2000 Census]
> Most respondents (61\%) have no children living in the household under 18.
> The two highest education categories are:

- $34 \%$ high school graduate
- $28 \%$ college
$>$ The two highest employment status categories are:
- $57 \%$ employed
- $27 \%$ retired
$>$ The highest field of employment category is:
- 36\% services
$>$ Most respondents (53\%) work in Stevens Point/Plover/Whiting.
$>$ The highest income category is:
- $35 \%$ \$50,000 to $\$ 99,000$


## County Trends \& Land Use:

Most respondents (78\%) want the present rate or slower than projected rate of population growth in the county. Only $6 \%$ want a faster rate of population growth than projected.
$>$ In the order in which they are desired, the types of growth that respondents indicate should be encouraged are:

1. small business
2. family farms
3. single family residences
4. light industry

The least favored types of growth are:

1. large corporate farms
2. hobby farms
3. multi-family residences
$>$ Government is viewed by most respondents as playing a key role in planning and zoning.

- $72 \%$ agree or strongly agree that local units of government have responsibility to protect property owners and the community through regulation.
- $75 \%$ agree or strongly agree that zoning regulations are beneficial.
- Only $25 \%$ agree or strongly agree that people should be allowed to develop their property anyway they see fit.


## Housing

$>$ Respondents see ideal urban neighborhoods as including homes as well as:

- Parks
- Schools
- Shopping

Least favored as part of ideal urban neighborhoods are:

- alleys
- apartments
> Other than farm residences, the only type of housing in rural areas favored by respondents are:
- single family homes on $2+$ acres.
$>$ The most desired form of development in their own city/village/township is:
- Moderately priced homes and housing for seniors.

The least favored form of development is:

- Manufactured (mobile) homes
- Higher priced homes

Most respondents (77\%) agree or strongly agree that housing in their city/village/township is good.
$>$ Respondents were divided ( $51 \%$ are neutral, disagree or strongly disagree) whether government should help lower income residents.

## Utilities and Community Facilities

$>$ Respondents suggest most new homes and businesses should be encouraged where sewer and water are available.
$>$ Respondents least want development anywhere, with or without sewer and water services.
$>$ Most respondents (66\%) agree or strongly agree future boundaries should be established for sewer and water, while $71 \%$ agree or strongly agree these boundaries should be somewhat flexible

All local services are generally regarded by respondents as "good."
> On a five point scale, the highest rated local services are:

- 4.0 sewer
- 4.0 fire protection
- 4.0 library
- 4.0 parks

On a five-point scale, the lowest rated service is:

- 3.6 social services


## Agricultural, Natural, \& Cultural Resources

$>$ Respondents feel strongly ( $86 \%$ agree or strongly agree) that the county should work with farmers to identify and protect productive agricultural regions.
$>$ Respondents also feel (72\% agree or strongly agree) that owners should not be allowed to develop land as they see fit.
$>$ Respondents generally agreed ( $66 \%$ agree or strongly agree) the rural economy should be protected by having growth in and around developed areas.

Respondents state they are interested in their local city/village/township identifying and protecting all of the categories listed on the survey.
$>$ On a five point scale, respondents most want to identify and protect:

- 4.4 lakes, rivers and streams

On a five-point scale, respondents want least to identify and protect:

- 3.9 open spaces.
$>$ The largest threat to water quality was seen by respondents to be:
- 4.2 agricultural pesticides and fertilizers

The least threat was seen as:

- 3.6 sewage holding tank \& septic tank spreading

Generally, respondents see quantity of water issues as less of a threat than quality of water issues.
> The highest threat to quantity of water is:

- 3.7 drinking water bottling plants

The least threat to quantity of water is:

- 2.5 private wells


## Commerce \& Employment

> Respondents felt that new types of development that would be good for the county are:

- 3.7 retail
- 3.5 industrial development

Respondents were less enthusiastic about:

- 3.3 service development
- 3.2 office development
$>$ Only $56 \%$ of respondents agreed or strongly agreed that retail or commercial development should be located in cities or villages.
$>$ While only $35 \%$ agree or strongly agree that there are enough job opportunities to make a living, only $42 \%$ agree or strongly agree that tax dollars should be used to develop jobs.


## Transportation

$>77 \%$ agree or strongly agree that Portage County highways are in good condition, while $63 \%$ agree or strongly agree that city/village/township roads are in good condition.
$>51 \%$ agree or strongly agree that local government needs to provide connections to regional trail systems.
$>44 \%$ agree or strongly agree more bicycle routes are needed
$>41 \%$ agree or strongly agree that more pedestrian routes are needed.
$>$ Regarding transportation related services, the highest rated service is:

- 3.7 snow plowing

The lowest rated transportation service is:

- 3.2 airport facilities


## Issues \& Opportunities

$>$ After preserving the existing rural landscape, respondents are evenly divided between limited amounts (45\%) and moderate amounts (49\%) of development in rural areas.
$>$ Respondents also favor (71\%) some outward expansion of urban areas with a focus on infill and redevelopment.
> Respondents also want local government to:

- $82 \%$ encourage environmental preservation
- $76 \%$ encourage farmland preservation
- $76 \%$ to be neutral or discourage vacation homes


## Open-end Questions

$>$ The recreational program or facility most participants would like to see are:

- 70 youth center
- 37 skateboard park
- 37 more bike trails
- 37 ATV trails
> The greatest problem or concern participants have are:
- 156 taxes/property taxes
- 84 urban sprawl
- 44 water quality
- 42 roads
> The best part of living in a participant's city/village/township is:
- 87 quiet
- 63 small town atmosphere
- 58 safety/security


## Rural and Urban Responses

Few significant differences emerged between rural and urban responses to survey questions. In general, urban responses were slightly higher than rural responses in agreeing to survey questions, and rated services slightly higher.

Urban responses were calculated by combining Stevens Point, Park Ridge, Plover, and Whiting. Rural responses were calculated by combining all other respondents.

Those questions and items that have at least a $\mathbf{. 4}$ differential in responses are included in the following table.

Rural Urban
7. Appropriate types of housing in rural areas:

| Duplexes | 2.3 | 2.7 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mobile Home Parks | 1.9 | 2.4 |
| Multi-Family apartments | 2.0 | 2.4 |

8. My city/village/town/ has a need for more:

| Moderately priced homes | 3.5 | 3.9 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Public/subsidized housing | 2.2 | 2.7 |
| Multi-unit rental housing | 2.1 | 2.6 |

14. How would you rate each of the following local services
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Sanitary sewer } & 3.7 & 4.1\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Police protection } & 3.6 & 4.0\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Ambulance service } & 3.7 & 4.1\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Municipal water } & 3.6 & 4.0\end{array}$
15. The following represent a threat to the quality of Portage County's groundwater

Sewage holding tank \& septic tank spreading
3.3
3.8
21. The following represent a threat to the quantity of Portage County's groundwater

Private wells
2.3
2.7
22. What types of development do you believe would be good for Portage County to attract?
33. In plans for future development should local government discourage, encourage or remain neutral regarding:

## Retail development:

| Encourage | $43 \%$ | $58 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Remain neutral | $42 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| Discourage | $12 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Don't know | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ |

38. I currently

| Own | $97 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Rent | $3 \%$ | $21 \%$ |

39. Gender
40. What is the highest level of education completed

| Some high school | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| High school graduate | $38 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| Technical college | $18 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Junior college | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| College | $24 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| Post graduate | $14 \%$ | $21 \%$ |

# PORTAGE COUNTY <br> COMPREHENSIVE PLANNING COMMUNITY SURVEY 

## County Trends and Land Use

1. From the year 2000 to 2020 , Portage County's population is projected to increase 21 percent from 67,182 to 81,242 . At what rate would you like to see growth occur?

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Faster than projected | 369 | $6 \%$ |
| Present projected rate of growth | 2486 | $40 \%$ |
| Slower than projected | 2374 | $38 \%$ |
| No growth | 579 | $9 \%$ |
| Don't Know | 394 | $6 \%$ |
| Total | 6202 | $100 \%$ |

2. The following types of growth should be encouraged within Portage County

Single family residential

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Strongly Disagree | 162 | $3 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 228 | $4 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1066 | $17 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 2325 | $37 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 2351 | $38 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 107 | $2 \%$ |
| Total | 6239 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6132 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{4 . 1}$ |  |

## Multi-family residential

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1- Strongly Disagree | 655 | $11 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 1130 | $19 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 2004 | $34 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 1456 | $24 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 594 | $10 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 131 | $2 \%$ |
| Total | 5970 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 5839 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3}$ |  |

## Rural residential

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Strongly Disagree | 658 | $11 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 900 | $15 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1607 | $27 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 1775 | $29 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 948 | $16 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 133 | $2 \%$ |
| Total | 6021 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 5888 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 2}$ |  |

Hobby farms

| 1- Strongly Disagree | 393 | $7 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2-Disagree | 659 | $11 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 2154 | $36 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 1755 | $29 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 809 | $14 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 221 | $4 \%$ |
| Total | 5991 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 5770 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ |  |

## Family farms

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1- Strongly Disagree | 144 | $2 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 152 | $2 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1045 | $17 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 2160 | $35 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 2561 | $41 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 110 | $2 \%$ |
| Total | 6172 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6062 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{4 . 1}$ |  |

## Large corporate farms

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1- Strongly Disagree | 2100 | $35 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 1391 | $23 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1412 | $24 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 574 | $10 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 268 | $5 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 191 | $3 \%$ |
| Total | 5936 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 5745 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ |  |

## Small businesses

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1- Strongly Disagree | 120 | $2 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 116 | $2 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 710 | $12 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 2714 | $44 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 2432 | $39 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 81 | $1 \%$ |
| Total | 6173 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6092 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{4 . 2}$ |  |

## Large retail outlets/centers

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1- Strongly Disagree | 984 | $16 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 1034 | $17 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1522 | $25 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 1381 | $23 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 998 | $17 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 111 | $2 \%$ |
| Total | 6030 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 5919 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ |  |

## Light industry

## Heavy industry

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1- Strongly Disagree | 1027 | $17 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 923 | $15 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1469 | $24 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 1436 | $24 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 1062 | $18 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 147 | $2 \%$ |
| Total | 6064 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 5917 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ |  |

3. Local units of government have the responsibility to protect property owners and the community by regulating land use.

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Strongly Disagree | 298 | $5 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 460 | $7 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 974 | $15 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 2802 | $43 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 1863 | $29 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 100 | $2 \%$ |
| Total | 6497 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6397 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 9}$ |  |

4. The use of zoning regulations is beneficial.

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Strongly Disagree | 171 | $3 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 312 | $5 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1026 | $16 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 2989 | $46 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 1878 | $29 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 133 | $2 \%$ |
| Total | 6509 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6376 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{4}$ |  |

5. People should be allowed to develop their property any way they see fit.

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Strongly Disagree | 1577 | $24 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 2226 | $34 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1023 | $16 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 896 | $14 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 704 | $11 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 75 | $1 \%$ |
| Total | 6501 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6426 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ |  |

## Housing

6. Ideal urban neighborhoods would include homes as well as:

Apartments

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Strongly Disagree | 416 | $7 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 862 | $14 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1404 | $23 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 2421 | $40 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 933 | $15 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 68 | $1 \%$ |
| Total | 6104 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6036 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 4}$ |  |

## Shopping

1- Strongly Disagree
Responses Percent
2-Disagree
146 2\%

3-Neutral
286 5\%
4-Agree
5-Strongly Agree
DK-Don't Know
Total
Valid cases
773 12\%
3248 52\%
1804 29\%
$49 \quad 1 \%$
6306 100\%
Average
6257
4

## Employment

1- Strongly Disagree
Responses Percent
2-Disagree
128 2\%
3-Neutral
352
6\%
4-Agree
992
16\%
5-Strongly Agree
DK-Don't Know
Total
2695
44\%
1948 32\%

Valid cases
6172
1\%
100\%
Average
6115
4

## Schools

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1- Strongly Disagree | 92 | $1 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 142 | $2 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 624 | $10 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 2959 | $47 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 2359 | $38 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 54 | $1 \%$ |
| Total | 6230 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6176 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{4 . 2}$ |  |

## Parks

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1- Strongly Disagree | 72 | $1 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 91 | $1 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 537 | $9 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 2696 | $43 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 2804 | $45 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 49 | $1 \%$ |
| Total | 6249 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6200 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ |  |

Alleys

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Strongly Disagree | 774 | $13 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 1438 | $24 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 2113 | $35 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 973 | $16 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 519 | $9 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 194 | $3 \%$ |
| Total | 6011 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 5817 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{2 . 8}$ |  |

## Sidewalks

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1- Strongly Disagree | 313 | $5 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 474 | $8 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1324 | $21 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 2263 | $37 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 1733 | $28 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 84 | $1 \%$ |
| Total | 6191 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6107 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ |  |

## 7. Other than farm residences, what types of housing would be appropriate in rural areas?

Single family (2+ acre)

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1- Strongly Disagree | 439 | $7 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 471 | $8 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 750 | $12 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 2499 | $40 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 1942 | $31 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 95 | $2 \%$ |
| Total | 6196 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6101 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ |  |

## Single family (<2 acre)

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1- Strongly Disagree | 972 | $16 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 1135 | $18 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1078 | $18 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 1872 | $30 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 997 | $16 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 98 | $2 \%$ |
| Total | 6152 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6054 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ |  |
|  |  |  |
| Duplexes |  |  |
|  | Responses | Percent |
| 1-Strongly Disagree | 1439 | $24 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 1682 | $28 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1525 | $25 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 998 | $16 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 297 | $5 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 109 | $2 \%$ |
| Total | 6050 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 5941 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ |  |

## Multi-family apartments

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Strongly Disagree | 1927 | $32 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 2077 | $34 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1169 | $19 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 540 | $9 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 203 | $3 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 127 | $2 \%$ |
| Total | 6043 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 5916 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ |  |

## Mobile home parks

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1- Strongly Disagree | 2246 | $37 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 1638 | $27 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1266 | $21 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 577 | $9 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 214 | $4 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 141 | $2 \%$ |
| Total | 6082 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 5941 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ |  |

## No new non-farm housing

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1- Strongly Disagree | 1166 | $19 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 1686 | $28 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1620 | $27 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 691 | $11 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 605 | $10 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 300 | $5 \%$ |
| Total | 6068 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 5768 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{2 . 6}$ |  |

## 8. My city/village/township has a need for more:

## Moderately priced homes

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Strongly Disagree | 261 | $4 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 470 | $7 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1541 | $24 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 2433 | $39 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 1309 | $21 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 302 | $5 \%$ |
| Total | 6316 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6014 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ |  |

Public/subsidized housing

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1- Strongly Disagree | 1293 | $21 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 1694 | $28 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1721 | $28 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 694 | $11 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 303 | $5 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 384 | $6 \%$ |
| Total | 6089 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 5705 |  |
| Average | 2.5 |  |

## Higher priced homes

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Strongly Disagree | 1140 | $19 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 1808 | $30 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 2021 | $33 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 637 | $10 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 200 | $3 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 282 | $5 \%$ |
| Total | 6088 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 5806 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ |  |

## Multi-unit rental housing

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Strongly Disagree | 1374 | $23 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 1866 | $31 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1713 | $28 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 672 | $11 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 165 | $3 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 301 | $5 \%$ |
| Total | 6091 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 5790 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{2 . 4}$ |  |

## Manufactured (mobile) homes

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1- Strongly Disagree | 1994 | $33 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 1941 | $32 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1371 | $22 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 358 | $6 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 131 | $2 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 299 | $5 \%$ |
| Total | 6094 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 5795 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ |  |

## Housing for seniors

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1- Strongly Disagree | 375 | $6 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 437 | $7 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1693 | $27 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 2302 | $36 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 1139 | $18 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 361 | $6 \%$ |
| Total | 6307 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 5946 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ |  |

9. The overall quality of housing in my city/village/township is good.

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Strongly Disagree | 74 | $1 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 316 | $5 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 998 | $15 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 4169 | $64 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 876 | $13 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 125 | $2 \%$ |
| Total | 6558 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6433 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ |  |

10. Government should provide financial assistance for lower income residents to maintain and improve their homes.

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1- Strongly Disagree | 602 | $9 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 1124 | $17 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1667 | $25 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 2102 | $32 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 955 | $15 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 119 | $2 \%$ |
| Total | 6569 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6450 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ |  |

## Utilities and Community Facilities

11. New homes and businesses should be encouraged:

Primarily in communities where sewer and water are available...
Responses Percent
1- Strongly Disagree
117 2\%
2-Disagree 387
6\%
3-Neutral 828 13\%
4-Agree 2945
47\%
5-Strongly Agree
1952
$31 \%$
DK-Don't Know
75
6304
1\%
Total
6229
Valid cases
4

Next to communities where sewer and water could be extended...
Responses Percent
1- Strongly Disagree 322 5\%
2-Disagree 756 12\%
3-Neutral 1421 23\%
4-Agree 2802 45\%
5-Strongly Agree 776 13\%
DK-Don't Know 107 2\%
Total 6184
100\%
Valid cases 6077
Average 3.5

| Anywhere in the County, with or without sewer and water services... |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Responses | Percent |
| 1- Strongly Disagree | 1431 | $23 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 1640 | $26 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1382 | $22 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 1064 | $17 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 540 | $9 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 152 | $2 \%$ |
| Total | 6209 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6057 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{2 . 6}$ |  |

12. Future boundaries should be established for municipal water and sanitary sewer systems.

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Strongly Disagree | 181 | $3 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 436 | $7 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1451 | $22 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 2851 | $44 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 1293 | $20 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 296 | $5 \%$ |
| Total | 6508 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6212 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ |  |

## 13. The boundaries described in Question 12 should be:

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very inflexible, essentially stopping development outside of the boundary. | 1189 | 19\% |
| Somewhat flexible, allowing for some development outside the boundary | 4366 | 71\% |
| Very flexible, allowing anyone who asks for sewer and water to get it wherever they are. | 582 | 9\% |
| Total | 6137 | 100\% |

14. How would you rate each of the following local services:

## Sanitary sewer

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Very Poor | 41 | $1 \%$ |
| 2-Poor | 77 | $1 \%$ |
| 3-Average | 813 | $13 \%$ |
| 4-Good | 2380 | $37 \%$ |
| 5-Excellent | 1120 | $18 \%$ |
| DU-Don't Use | 1959 | $31 \%$ |
| Total | 6390 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 4431 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{4}$ |  |

## Municipal water

| 1-Very Poor | 86 | $1 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2-Poor | 205 | $3 \%$ |
| 3-Average | 947 | $15 \%$ |
| 4-Good | 2022 | $32 \%$ |
| 5-Excellent | 1043 | $16 \%$ |
| DU-Don't Use | 2094 | $33 \%$ |
| Total | 6397 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 4303 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 9}$ |  |

## Police protection

1-Very Poor
2-Poor
3-Average
4-Good
5-Excellent
DU-Don't Use
Total
Valid cases
Average
Responses Percent
93 1\%
268 4\%
1671 26\%

2834 44\%
1335 21\%
277 4\%
6478 100\%
6201
3.8

Fire protection

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Very Poor | 61 | $1 \%$ |
| 2-Poor | 170 | $3 \%$ |
| 3-Average | 1316 | $20 \%$ |
| 4-Good | 2982 | $46 \%$ |
| 5-Excellent | 1637 | $25 \%$ |
| DU-Don't Use | 310 | $5 \%$ |
| Total | 6476 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6166 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{4}$ |  |

Ambulance service
1-Very Poor
2-Poor
3-Average
4-Good
5-Excellent
DU-Don't Use
Total
Valid cases
Average
Responses Percent

60 1\%
195 3\%
1393 22\%
2773 43\%
1503 23\%
534 8\%
6458 100\%

Social service

| 1-Very Poor | 87 | $1 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2-Poor | 274 | $4 \%$ |
| 3-Average | 1637 | $26 \%$ |
| 4-Good | 2158 | $34 \%$ |
| 5-Excellent | 686 | $11 \%$ |
| DU-Don't Use | 1543 | $24 \%$ |
| Total | 6385 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 4842 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ |  |

## Garbage collection

1-Very Poor
2-Poor
3-Average
4-Good
5-Excellent
DU-Don't Use
Total
Valid cases
Responses Percent
83 1\%
188 3\%
1141 18\%
2906 45\%
1462 23\%
703 11\%
6483 100\%
Average
5780
3.9

## Recycling program

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Very Poor | 124 | $2 \%$ |
| 2-Poor | 344 | $5 \%$ |
| 3-Average | 1392 | $22 \%$ |
| 4-Good | 2937 | $45 \%$ |
| 5-Excellent | 1359 | $21 \%$ |
| DU-Don't Use | 312 | $5 \%$ |
| Total | 6468 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6156 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ |  |

Library
1-Very Poor
2-Poor
3-Average
4-Good
5-Excellent
DU-Don't Use
Total
Valid cases
Average
Responses Percent
$49 \quad 1 \%$

153 2\%
1137 18\%
3008 47\%
1479 23\%
$622 \quad 10 \%$
6448 100\%
5826
4

## Education

## Parks

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Very Poor | 40 | $1 \%$ |
| 2-Poor | 146 | $2 \%$ |
| 3-Average | 1079 | $17 \%$ |
| 4-Good | 3037 | $47 \%$ |
| 5-Excellent | 1780 | $27 \%$ |
| DU-Don't Use | 394 | $6 \%$ |
| Total | 6476 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6082 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{4}$ |  |

## Recreation programs

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Very Poor | 110 | $2 \%$ |
| 2-Poor | 294 | $5 \%$ |
| 3-Average | 1449 | $22 \%$ |
| 4-Good | 2428 | $38 \%$ |
| 5-Excellent | 1048 | $16 \%$ |
| DU-Don't Use | 1120 | $17 \%$ |
| Total | 6449 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 5329 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ |  |

## Agricultural, Natural, and Cultural Resources

16. Portage County should work with farmers to identify and protect productive agricultural regions.

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Strongly Disagree | 71 | $1 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 121 | $2 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 596 | $9 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 2771 | $42 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 2894 | $44 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 82 | $1 \%$ |
| Total | 6535 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6453 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ |  |

17. Local units of government in Portage County should address the issue of development in productive agricultural regions by:

Preserving farmland at all costs

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Strongly Disagree | 320 | $5 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 1063 | $17 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1581 | $25 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 1799 | $29 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 1375 | $22 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 122 | $2 \%$ |
| Total | 6260 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6138 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 5}$ |  |

Protecting productive farmland, but allowing growth in areas not suitable for agricultural use.

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1- Strongly Disagree | 263 | $4 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 550 | $9 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 946 | $15 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 3172 | $50 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 1280 | $20 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 112 | $2 \%$ |
| Total | 6323 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6211 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ |  |

Not protecting farmland, let owners develop as they see fit

> Responses Percent

2483 40\%
1- Strongly Disagree
1994 32\%
2-Disagree
945 15\%
3-Neutral
$376 \quad 6 \%$
4-Agree 376
220 4\%
5-Strongly Agree
141
6159
2\%
100\%
Total
6018
Valid cases
2
18. The rural economy of Portage County should be protected by having growth directed into and around existing developed areas.

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1- Strongly Disagree | 140 | $2 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 414 | $7 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1382 | $22 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 2866 | $45 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 1312 | $21 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 234 | $4 \%$ |
| Total | 6348 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6114 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ |  |

19. My city/village/township should make an effort to identify and protect the following:

## Woodlands

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1- Strongly Disagree | 101 | $2 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 197 | $3 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 722 | $11 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 2489 | $38 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 2889 | $45 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 88 | $1 \%$ |
| Total | 6486 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6398 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{4 . 2}$ |  |

## Wetlands and floodplains

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Strongly Disagree | 136 | $2 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 261 | $4 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 954 | $15 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 2271 | $35 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 2700 | $42 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 117 | $2 \%$ |
| Total | 6439 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6322 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{4 . 1}$ |  |

Open spaces

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1- Strongly Disagree | 142 | $2 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 373 | $6 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1521 | $24 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 2141 | $33 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 2093 | $33 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 132 | $2 \%$ |
| Total | 6402 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6270 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 9}$ |  |

## Lakes, rivers and streams

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1- Strongly Disagree | 74 | $1 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 80 | $1 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 387 | $6 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 2334 | $36 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 3544 | $55 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 71 | $1 \%$ |
| Total | 6490 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6419 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{4 . 4}$ |  |

## Endangered species habitat

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1- Strongly Disagree | 187 | $3 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 341 | $5 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1180 | $18 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 2017 | $32 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 2575 | $40 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 96 | $2 \%$ |
| Total | 6396 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6300 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{4}$ |  |

## Parkland, existing and future

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1- Strongly Disagree | 91 | $1 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 129 | $2 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 799 | $12 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 2611 | $41 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 2704 | $42 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 102 | $2 \%$ |
| Total | 6436 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6334 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{4 . 2}$ |  |

## Historic and cultural sites

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1- Strongly Disagree | 119 | $2 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 219 | $3 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1233 | $19 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 2402 | $37 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 2361 | $37 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 109 | $2 \%$ |
| Total | 6443 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6334 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{4 . 1}$ |  |

20. The following represent a threat to the quality of Portage County's groundwater:

## Residential runoff

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1- Strongly Disagree | 102 | $2 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 576 | $9 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1267 | $20 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 2523 | $39 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 1562 | $24 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 387 | $6 \%$ |
| Total | 6417 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6030 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ |  |

## Agricultural pesticides and fertilizers

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Strongly Disagree | 76 | $1 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 191 | $3 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 718 | $11 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 2620 | $41 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 2544 | $39 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 313 | $5 \%$ |
| Total | 6462 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6149 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{4 . 2}$ |  |

Manure and liquid waste application

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Strongly Disagree | 106 | $2 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 408 | $6 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1199 | $19 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 2523 | $39 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 1741 | $27 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 463 | $7 \%$ |
| Total | 6440 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 5977 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 9}$ |  |

Commercial/Industrial storm water runoff and infiltration
Responses Percent
1- Strongly Disagree 66 1\%
2-Disagree 265 4\%
3-Neutral 1129 18\%
4-Agree 2656 42\%
5-Strongly Agree $1757 \quad 27 \%$
DK-Don't Know 519 8\%
Total 6392
100\%
Valid cases 5873
Average 4
Sewage holding tank and septic tank spreading

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Strongly Disagree | 161 | $3 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 689 | $11 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1786 | $28 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 2001 | $31 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 1124 | $18 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 623 | $10 \%$ |
| Total | 6384 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 5761 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ |  |

## Improperly abandoned wells

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1- Strongly Disagree | 135 | $2 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 466 | $7 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1655 | $26 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 2107 | $33 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 1232 | $19 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 788 | $12 \%$ |
| Total | 6383 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 5595 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ |  |

## Industrial waste land spreading

Responses Percent
1- Strongly Disagree 84 1\%

2-Disagree
287 4\%
3-Neutral
1057
2340
1971
679
6418
5739
Valid cases
4
16\%
4-Agree
5-Strongly Agree
36\%
DK-Don't Know
$31 \%$
Total
11\%

Valid cases

Municipal waste and sludge land spreading
Responses Percent

1- Strongly Disagree
118 2\%

2-Disagree
462
3-Neutral
1409
7\%
4-Agree
2147
5-Strongly Agree
1543
22\%

DK-Don't Know
Total
743
6422
Valid cases 5679
Average 3.8

## 21. The following represent a threat to the quantity of Portage County's groundwater:

## Municipal wells

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1- Strongly Disagree | 299 | $5 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 1378 | $22 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 2174 | $34 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 1169 | $18 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 464 | $7 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 864 | $14 \%$ |
| Total | 6348 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 5484 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3}$ |  |

## Agricultural irrigation wells

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Strongly Disagree | 173 | $3 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 896 | $14 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1714 | $27 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 1773 | $28 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 1041 | $16 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 782 | $12 \%$ |
| Total | 6379 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 5597 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 5}$ |  |

## Drinking water bottling plants

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Strongly Disagree | 236 | $4 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 659 | $10 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1367 | $21 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 1543 | $24 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 1811 | $28 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 772 | $12 \%$ |
| Total | 6388 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 5616 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ |  |

## Industrial water users

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1- Strongly Disagree | 124 | $2 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 603 | $9 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1682 | $26 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 2093 | $33 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 1094 | $17 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 769 | $12 \%$ |
| Total | 6365 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 5596 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ |  |

## Private wells

| 1- Strongly Disagree | 818 | $13 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2-Disagree | 2151 | $34 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1761 | $28 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 668 | $11 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 244 | $4 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 706 | $11 \%$ |
| Total | 6348 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 5642 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ |  |

## Commerce and Employment

22. What types of new development do you believe would be good for Portage county to attract?

Retail development

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1- Strongly Disagree | 320 | $5 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 634 | $10 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1234 | $19 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 2448 | $38 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 1679 | $26 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 122 | $2 \%$ |
| Total | 6437 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6315 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ |  |

## Service Development

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Strongly Disagree | 391 | $6 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 1046 | $16 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 2093 | $33 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 1960 | $31 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 736 | $12 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 148 | $2 \%$ |
| Total | 6374 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6226 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ |  |

## Office Development

1- Strongly Disagree
Responses Percent
2-Disagree
3-Neutral
4-Agree
498 8\%

1226 19\%

5-Strongly Agree
2019 32\%
1747 27\%

DK-Don't Know
727 11\%
Total
151 2\%
Valid cases 6217
6368 100\%

Average
3.2

Industrial Development

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1- Strongly Disagree | 508 | $8 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 803 | $12 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1286 | $20 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 2168 | $34 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 1552 | $24 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 139 | $2 \%$ |
| Total | 6456 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6317 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 5}$ |  |

23. New retail or commercial businesses should only be located in cities or villages

1- Strongly Disagree
Responses Percent

2-Disagree
322
5\%

3-Neutral
1176
18\%
4-Agree
1193
18\%
5-Strongly Agree
2262
35\%
DK-Don't Know
1368
$21 \%$

Total
136
6457
$2 \%$

Valid cases
6321
Average
3.5
24. There are enough job opportunities to make a living in Portage County.

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1- Strongly Disagree | 669 | $10 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 1749 | $27 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1362 | $21 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 1854 | $29 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 421 | $6 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 433 | $7 \%$ |
| Total | 6488 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6055 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{2 . 9}$ |  |

25. Tax dollars should be used to develop new jobs in the County.

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Strongly Disagree | 543 | $8 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 1146 | $18 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1817 | $28 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 1988 | $31 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 731 | $11 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 256 | $4 \%$ |
| Total | 6481 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6225 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 2}$ |  |

## Transportation

26. Local roads in my city/village/township are in good condition

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1- Strongly Disagree | 294 | $4 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 1113 | $17 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 991 | $15 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 3575 | $55 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 542 | $8 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 36 | $1 \%$ |
| Total | 6551 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6515 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 5}$ |  |

## 27. Portage County Highways are in good condition.

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Strongly Disagree | 68 | $1 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 399 | $6 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 980 | $15 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 4371 | $67 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 694 | $11 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 41 | $1 \%$ |
| Total | 6553 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6512 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ |  |

28. Local units of government in Portage County need to provide:

More bicycle routes

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Strongly Disagree | 413 | $6 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 964 | $15 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 2005 | $31 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 1720 | $27 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 1091 | $17 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 287 | $4 \%$ |
| Total | 6480 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6193 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ |  |

More pedestrian routes

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Strongly Disagree | 398 | $6 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 951 | $15 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 2131 | $33 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 1706 | $26 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 998 | $15 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 292 | $5 \%$ |
| Total | 6476 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6184 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ |  |

29. Local units of government should provide connections to regional trail systems.

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1- Strongly Disagree | 386 | $6 \%$ |
| 2-Disagree | 771 | $12 \%$ |
| 3-Neutral | 1759 | $27 \%$ |
| 4-Agree | 2071 | $32 \%$ |
| 5-Strongly Agree | 1220 | $19 \%$ |
| DK-Don't Know | 269 | $4 \%$ |
| Total | 6476 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6207 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 5}$ |  |

30. How would you rate the following transportation-related services in Portage County?

Road maintenance

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Very Poor | 94 | $1 \%$ |
| 2-Poor | 369 | $6 \%$ |
| 3-Average | 1929 | $30 \%$ |
| 4-Good | 3412 | $52 \%$ |
| 5-Excellent | 659 | $10 \%$ |
| DU-Don't Use | 63 | $1 \%$ |
| Total | 6526 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 6463 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ |  |

## Snow plowing

1-Very Poor
2-Poor
3-Average
4-Good
Responses Percent

5-Excellent
DU-Don't Use
Total
115
390
2\%

1531
6\%
23\%
3325 51\%

Valid cases
1035 16\%

Average
128
6524
$2 \%$
100\%
6396
3.7

## Public parking

1-Very Poor
2-Poor
Responses Percent

3-Average
848 13\%
4-Good
5-Excellent
DU-Don't Use
Total
Valid cases
2457 38\%
2398 37\%
337 5\%
265 4\%
6463 100\%
Average
6198
3.3

Bus / Taxi / etc.

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Very Poor | 197 | $3 \%$ |
| 2-Poor | 783 | $12 \%$ |
| 3-Average | 1951 | $30 \%$ |
| 4-Good | 1357 | $21 \%$ |
| 5-Excellent | 221 | $3 \%$ |
| DU-Don't Use | 1944 | $30 \%$ |
| Total | 6453 | $100 \%$ |
| Valid cases | 4509 |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ |  |

## Bicycle/pedestrian facilities

1-Very Poor
2-Poor
3-Average
4-Good
5-Excellent
DU-Don't Use
Total
Responses Percent
$99 \quad 2 \%$
605 9\%
2191 34\%
2148 33\%
$425 \quad 7 \%$

Valid cases
968 15\%
6436 100\%

Average
5468
3.4

Transportation for seniors
1-Very Poor
Responses Percent
2-Poor
106
2\%
3-Average
4-Good
5-Excellent
DU-Don't Use
Total
526
8\%
1552 24\%
1344 21\%
256 4\%
2687 42\%
6471 100\%
Valid cases
3784
Average

## Transportation for disabled

1-Very Poor

| Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- |
| 121 | $2 \%$ |

2-Poor
$481 \quad 7 \%$
3-Average
1494 23\%
4-Good
1287 20\%
5-Excellent
DU-Don't Use
Total
277
2808
6468
$4 \%$
43\%
Valid cases
3660
Average
3.3

## Airport facilities

1-Very Poor
Responses Percent
2-Poor
214 3\%
3-Average
694 11\%
4-Good
5-Excellent
DU-Don't Use
1756
$27 \%$
1499 23\%

Total
265
2034 31\%
Valid cases
6462 100\%
Average

## 4428

## Issues and Opportunities

31. My preference for development in rural Portage County in the year 2020 is:

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Preservation of the existing rural landscape with limited amounts of new development. | 2876 | 45\% |
| Preservation of the existing landscape with moderate amounts of new development. | 3187 | 49\% |
| Unrestricted development in rural areas. | 404 | 6\% |
| Total | 6461 | 100\% |

32. My preference for development in urban Portage County in the year 2020 is:

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Infill and redevelopment with no <br> outward expansion of existing <br> urban areas. | 1364 | $21 \%$ |
| Some outward expansion of <br> existing urban areas with a focus <br> on infill and redevelopment. | 4499 | $71 \%$ |
| Unrestricted growth of the urban <br> areas with a focus on outward <br> expansion. | 512 | $8 \%$ |
| Total | 6370 | $100 \%$ |

33. As Portage County and local government units plan for future development, should they discourage, encourage, or remain neutral regarding each of the following:

Environmental preservation

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Encourage | 5259 | $82 \%$ |
| Remain neutral | 967 | $15 \%$ |
| Discourage | 60 | $1 \%$ |
| Don't Know | 119 | $2 \%$ |
| Total | 6405 | $100 \%$ |

Farmland preservation

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Encourage | 4898 | $76 \%$ |
| Remain neutral | 1336 | $21 \%$ |
| Discourage | 85 | $1 \%$ |
| Don't Know | 119 | $2 \%$ |
| Total | 6438 | $100 \%$ |

## Industrial development

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Encourage | 3281 | $51 \%$ |
| Remain neutral | 2089 | $33 \%$ |
| Discourage | 815 | $13 \%$ |
| Don't Know | 197 | $3 \%$ |
| Total | 6382 | $100 \%$ |

## Residential development

Encourage
Remain neutral
Responses Percent

Discourage 618 10\%
Don't Know 183 3\%
Total
$6366 \quad 100 \%$

## Retail development

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Encourage | 3217 | $51 \%$ |
| Remain neutral | 2321 | $36 \%$ |
| Discourage | 655 | $10 \%$ |
| Don't Know | 174 | $3 \%$ |
| Total | 6367 | $100 \%$ |

Tourism facilities

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Encourage | 3075 | $48 \%$ |
| Remain neutral | 2385 | $37 \%$ |
| Discourage | 658 | $10 \%$ |
| Don't Know | 269 | $4 \%$ |
| Total | 6387 | $100 \%$ |

Vacation homes
Encourage
Remain neutral

| Responses | Perce |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1118 | $18 \%$ |

Discourage
2962 46\%
Don't Know
Total
1925
30\%
371 6\%
6376 100\%

## Demographics

36. How long have you been a resident in Portage County?

Less than 1 year
1-5 years
6-10 years
11-20 years
over 20 years
Not a resident but own land
Total

Responses Percent
158
711
546
876
3956
302
6549

2\%
$11 \%$
8\%
13\%
60\%
5\%
$100 \%$

## 37. I live (*or own land) in:

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C. Stevens Point | 2041 | $33 \%$ |
| V. Almond | 47 | $1 \%$ |
| V. Amherst | 81 | $1 \%$ |
| V. Amherst Jct. | 45 | $1 \%$ |
| V. Junction City | 27 | $0 \%$ |
| V. Nelsonville | 19 | $0 \%$ |
| V. Park Ridge | 76 | $1 \%$ |
| V. Plover | 847 | $14 \%$ |
| V. Rosholt | 46 | $1 \%$ |
| V. Whiting | 150 | $2 \%$ |
| T. Alban | 112 | $2 \%$ |
| T. Almond | 74 | $1 \%$ |
| T. Amherst | 142 | $2 \%$ |
| T. Belmont | 91 | $1 \%$ |
| T. Buena Vista | 100 | $2 \%$ |
| T. Carson | 128 | $2 \%$ |
| T. Dewey | 96 | $2 \%$ |
| T. Eau Pleine | 97 | $2 \%$ |
| T. Grant | 213 | $3 \%$ |
| T. Hull | 585 | $9 \%$ |
| T. Lanark | 220 | $4 \%$ |
| T. Linwood | 120 | $2 \%$ |
| T. New Hope | 122 | $2 \%$ |
| T. Pine Grove | 63 | $1 \%$ |
| T. Plover | 204 | $3 \%$ |
| T. Sharon | 206 | $3 \%$ |
| T. Stockton | 306 | $5 \%$ |
| Total | 6258 | $100 \%$ |

## 38. I currently

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Own | 5622 | $88 \%$ |
| Rent | 803 | $12 \%$ |
| Total | 6425 | $100 \%$ |

39. Please indicate your gender:

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Male | 3647 | $57 \%$ |
| Female | 2802 | $43 \%$ |
| Total | 6449 | $100 \%$ |

## 40. What is your age:

| under 18 | 4 | $0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $18-24$ | 277 | $4 \%$ |
| $25-34$ | 687 | $10 \%$ |
| $35-44$ | 1258 | $19 \%$ |
| $45-54$ | 1650 | $25 \%$ |
| $55-64$ | 1160 | $18 \%$ |
| $65-74$ | 894 | $14 \%$ |
| over 75 | 616 | $9 \%$ |
| Total | 6546 | $100 \%$ |

41. How many people live in your household, including yourself?

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 73 | $1 \%$ |
| 1 | 1191 | $18 \%$ |
| 2 | 2789 | $43 \%$ |
| 3 | 986 | $15 \%$ |
| 4 | 947 | $15 \%$ |
| 5 | 339 | $5 \%$ |
| 6 | 73 | $1 \%$ |
| 7 | 26 | $0 \%$ |
| 8 | 8 | $0 \%$ |
| 9 | 2 | $0 \%$ |
| 10 | 5 | $0 \%$ |
| Over 10 | 9 | $0 \%$ |
| Total | 6448 | $100 \%$ |

Number under 18 years old.

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 2969 | $61 \%$ |
| 1 | 780 | $16 \%$ |
| 2 | 817 | $17 \%$ |
| 3 | 246 | $5 \%$ |
| 4 | 63 | $1 \%$ |
| 5 | 12 | $0 \%$ |
| 6 | 4 | $0 \%$ |
| 7 | 2 | $0 \%$ |
| 8 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 9 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 10 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Over 10 | 3 | $0 \%$ |
| Total | 4896 | $100 \%$ |

## 42. What is the highest level of education you have completed?

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| some high school | 246 | $4 \%$ |
| high school graduate | 2159 | $34 \%$ |
| technical college | 948 | $15 \%$ |
| junior college | 144 | $2 \%$ |
| college | 1758 | $28 \%$ |
| post graduate | 1093 | $17 \%$ |
| Total | 6348 | $100 \%$ |

## 43. What is your employment status?

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| employed | 3612 | $56 \%$ |
| unemployed | 104 | $2 \%$ |
| self-employed | 605 | $9 \%$ |
| student | 222 | $3 \%$ |
| retired | 1752 | $27 \%$ |
| do not work | 163 | $3 \%$ |
| Total | 6458 | $100 \%$ |

## 44. What is your field of employment?

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agriculture | 223 | $5 \%$ |
| Wholesale trade | 101 | $2 \%$ |
| Manufacturing | 709 | $17 \%$ |
| Finance/Insurance/Real Estate | 484 | $12 \%$ |
| Government | 421 | $10 \%$ |
| Retail trade | 346 | $8 \%$ |
| Transportation/Public Utilities | 183 | $4 \%$ |
| Services | 1488 | $36 \%$ |
| Construction/Mining | 205 | $5 \%$ |
| Total | 4160 | $100 \%$ |

## 45. Where do you work?

|  | Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Portage County | 1073 | $25 \%$ |
| Stevens | 2296 | $53 \%$ |
| Point/Plover/Whiting/Park Ridge |  |  |
| Marshfield Area | 39 | $1 \%$ |
| Wisconsin Rapids | 318 | $7 \%$ |
| Mosinee/Wausau Area | 154 | $4 \%$ |
| Waupaca Area | 148 | $3 \%$ |
| Outside Central Wisconsin | 289 | $7 \%$ |
| Total | 4317 | $100 \%$ |

46. What is your approximate gross (before tax) yearly family income?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { under } \$ 15,000 \\
& \$ 15,001 \text { to } \$ 29,999 \\
& \$ 30,000 \text { to } \$ 49,999 \\
& \$ 50,000 \text { to } \$ 99,999 \\
& \$ 100,000 \text { or more } \\
& \text { Total }
\end{aligned}
$$

| Responses | Percent |
| :--- | :--- |
| 626 | $10 \%$ |
| 1143 | $19 \%$ |
| 1586 | $26 \%$ |
| 2107 | $35 \%$ |
| 550 | $9 \%$ |
| 6012 | $100 \%$ |

## Open-Ended Question Responses:

15. One recreational program or facility that my family or I would like to see provided somewhere in Portage County is:
youth center 70
skateboard park 37
more bike trails 37

ATV trails $\quad 37$
parks 27
soccer fields 22
swimming pool 17
Boys \& Girls Club 17
zoo 15
golf course 14
ice rink 13
dog park 7
camping 6
Swimming Pool in Plover 6
shooting range 6
casino 4
horse riding trails 4
municipal water 3
baseball 3
dog parks 3
more public land 2
WOLF LAKE 2
tubing hill 2
Plover high school 2
Youth sports complex 2
Library2
mountain bike trails 2
tennis courts 2
Youth programs 2
Hockey 2
Archery range 2
CAMPGROUND 2

YWCA 2
Senior Center 2

Miniature Golf 2
more hiking trails 2
More public hunting land 2
Recreation Programs 2
RV trails 2
outdoor theatre 2
county land fill 2

Casino 2
disc golf 2
cultural center 2
34. Please tell us the SINGLE greatest problem or concern you have regarding your city/village/township.

Taxes/property taxes 156
urban sprawl 84
Water quality 44
Roads 42
Too much development 25
rapid growth 12
Too much traffic 11
fire protection 10
schools $\quad 9$
Highway 107
snow removal 7
zoning 4
parking 4
Employment 4
over population 4
Police protection 3
crime 3
too much government 3
Lack of sidewalks ..... 2
noise 2
EMERGENCY SERVICES ..... 2
Hwy 10 Bypass ..... 2
Landfill ..... 2
Environmental preservation ..... 2
deer ..... 2
Downtown Development ..... 2
bus service ..... 2
Pollution ..... 2
Vandalism ..... 2

Too many new homes 2
Affordable housing 2
no high school 2
Drugs 2
35. Please share with us the best part of living in your city/village/township.

Quiet 87
small town atmosphere 63
safety/security 58
Peace \& Quiet 47
friendly people 45
The people 39
Privacy 32
peaceful 31
rural atmosphere ..... 31
Green Circle 30
Low crime ..... 27
Quality of life 27
country living 24
Rural setting ..... 22
great people ..... 18
good neighbors ..... 16
Parks ..... 15
open space ..... 15
Nature 12
friendly neighbors ..... 9
Location ..... 9
green space ..... 9
The University ..... 8
size ..... 8
clean air ..... 8
friendly atmosphere ..... 8
small town ..... 8
close to work 7
Solitude ..... 7
caring people 7
Environment 6
low taxes ..... 6
schools ..... 5
Freedom ..... 5
Quiet neighborhood ..... 5
wide open spaces ..... 5
no neighbors ..... 5
sense of community ..... 4
Services ..... 4
small village ..... 4
fresh air ..... 4
great ..... 3
Quiet country living ..... 3
convenience
The beauty ..... 3
Good place to raise children 2
safe and friendly ..... 2
I like it here ..... 2
Quiet and safe 2
Good services 2
Trees 2
Recreation ..... 2
Access to everything 2
clean living ..... 2
clean, safe, friendly ..... 2
Fresh air ..... 2
good roads ..... 2

Open Space 2
good quality of life 2
everything 2

